

EAST AYRSHIRE COUNCIL

HOUSING COMMITTEE -18 APRIL 2000

HATS - PROPERTY - TO 11 FEBRUARY 2000 (PERIOD 11)

Joint Report by Director of Finance and Director of Homes and Technical Services

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 To advise Members of the current budgetary control position and the projected outturn for the year for HATS - Property for the period ended 11 February 2000 (Period 11).

2. OVERALL POSITION

- 2.1 The following report relates to service costs and income directly controlled by the department and excludes rechargable costs for central services charges and debt charges.

- 2.2 The net expenditure to date amounts to £0.348m compared to a budget of £0.325m resulting in the actual expenditure being higher than the budget by £0.023m. The budget to 11 February 2000 is based on standard phasing for each period of expenditure and income, except where the service department has indicated otherwise. Period variances are mainly attributable to phasing which should level out over the year, but if this is not the case reasons for the anticipated variances are highlighted below.

2.3 Summary of Subjective Costs

	Budgeted Expenditure to 11/02/00 Period 11 £	Actual Expenditure to 11/02/00 Period 11 Revised £	to Date (Favourable)/ Adverse to 11/02/00 £	Revised Annual Estimate 1999/00 £	Projected Outturn 1999/00 £	Outturn Variance (Favourable)/ Adverse 1999/00 £
Employee Costs	292,585	300,208	7,623	355,784	334,673	(21,111)
Property Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transport Costs	15,996	16,349	353	18,585	18,585	0
Supplies and Services	5,401	0	(5,401)	6,375	1,000	(5,375)
Administration Costs	11,198	31,511	20,313	13,218	37,715	24,500
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	325,180	348,068	22,888	393,962	391,976	(1,986)
Income	0	0	0	0	0	0
Income recovered from Council						
Budgets outwith General Fund	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET EXPENDITURE AFTER ERM	325,180	348,068	22,888	391,962	391,976	(1,986)

2

3. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

3.1 Employee Costs

The projected favourable variance represents anticipated savings due to the review of salary costs at the Homes and Technical Services Department and which partly offsets the charge noted below.

3.2 Administration Costs

The projected adverse variance in Administration Costs represents a departmental administrative recharge arising from the review of the apportionment of salaries within the department.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 It is recommended that Members note the contents of this report.

Alex McPhee Director of Finance

James Lavery
Director of Homes and Technical Services

LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS

NIL

AGENDA